

# Rampion 2 Wind Farm

## Category 1: Application Form

### Covering Letter

**Date: January 2024**

**Revision A**

Document Reference: 1.1

Pursuant to: APFP Regulation 5 (2) (a)

Ecodoc number: 005032898-01



The Case Team  
The Planning Inspectorate  
National Infrastructure Planning  
Temple Quay House  
2 The Square  
Bristol  
BS1 6P

Date: 16<sup>th</sup> January 2024  
Your ref: EN010117  
Our ref:  
Direct:  
Email:

Uploaded to Planning Inspectorate Website only

Dear Case Team

Application by Rampion Extension Development Ltd for a new offshore windfarm with up to 90 wind turbine generators, offshore and onshore substations and electricity transmission infrastructure  
Procedural Deadline A Submission

Rampion Extension Development Ltd (RED) ('the Applicant') writes in response to the Examining Authority's (ExA) letter issued under The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010, Rule 4, 6, 9 and 13. This letter also addresses items raised in the ExA's Section 51 Advice [PD-002] and addresses errata items identified by the Applicant.

Response to Items in Rule 4, 6, 9 and 13 Letter – Annex D

### *1. Additional Submissions*

The Applicant encloses the document Examining Authority Additionally Requested Information (Document Reference: 8.23). This document provides the relevant information in response to the request made regarding the three items the Examining Authority sought to provide clarification to aid Interested Parties understanding of the environmental assessment undertaken for Rampion 2.

## *2. Land Rights Tracker (Document Reference 4.4)*

The Applicant encloses a Land Rights Tracker which is populated with the details of category 1 and 2 affected persons who have submitted a Relevant Representation. Details in relation to other affected parties will remain as set out in the Book of Reference [APP-O26]. The tracker template provided to the Applicant has been adapted to assist with efficient presentation of the information that the ExA has requested. A summary of the adaptations and rationale for them is set out in Appendix 1.

## *3. Guide to the Application [APP-004]*

The Applicant has submitted a Guide to the Application [APP-004] and an Application Document Tracker [APP-005] with the DCO Application. Both contain similar information, but the Guide to the Application provides a more detailed explanation of what information each document contains. We propose that the updated Application Document Tracker is submitted at every deadline (clean version only) and that the Guide to the Application is updated and submitted at Deadline 7 only.

## *4. Statements of Common Ground (SoCGs) and Statement of Commonality of SoCGs*

The Applicant has confirmed that it intends to prepare SoCGs with the Interested Parties listed in the Rule 6 letter. In addition, the Applicant will also be preparing an SoCG with Aquind Ltd and the National Air Traffic Service.

A Statement of Commonality of Statements of Common Ground (Document Reference: 8.21) is enclosed with this submission. Given the early request for this document, the counterparties have not yet reviewed the draft Statements of Common Ground, and so the table reflects the Applicant's understanding of the position only.

## *6. Examination Progress Tracker*

An initial Examination Progress Tracker (Document Reference 8.22) is enclosed with this submission. As this is a new procedural document and the Applicant is not aware of such a document being requested previously, we would appreciate further guidance from the ExA on whether the format is appropriate and informative.

## *8. National Policy Statements for Energy 22 November 2023*

The Applicant notes that the 2011 suite of Energy National Policy Statements (NPSs) should have effect, as the DCO application was submitted before the publication of the revised NPSs in November 2023. The Applicant will provide a written statement relating to the new NPSs at Deadline 1 as requested.

## *11. Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations*

As previously stated, the Applicant will submit response to Relevant Representations at Deadline 1. The Applicant is submitting a revised version of the Draft Development Consent Order (Document Reference: 3.1) at Procedural Deadline A which takes account of Relevant Representations and further consultation with Interested Parties even though the Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations is to follow at Deadline 1.

### *Items 5, 7, 9, 10 and 12*

The Applicant notes nothing is required to be submitted by us in respect to these items.

### *Comments on Draft Examination Timetable (Annex E)*

*Item 7* – This item refers to a Compulsory Acquisition Hearing 1 which has not yet been timetabled, and it is therefore assumed to be a typographical error.

*Item 10* – The Applicant notes that there is a limited period of time (11 working days) between Deadline 2 and Deadline 3. The Applicant will be required to submit a significant amount of information at Deadline 3, therefore we wish to encourage the Inspectorate to publish submissions in respect to Deadline 2 as soon as possible after the deadline so as to avoid limiting time available any further.

Under Item 10 it is requested that "*Any other updated documents and statements from the Applicant deemed necessary following its responses at Deadline 2*" be submitted. The Applicant is not required to make any submissions at Deadline 2 therefore we assume this should refer to Deadline 1.

*Item 12* – The Applicant requests that Deadline 4 is extended to 30 April 2024.

This deadline primarily requires the submission of responses to the issue of the Examining Authority's Written Questions (ExQ1) which are to be issued on 28 March 2024. Answering the first set of written questions is a labour-intensive task for those to whom the questions are directed - typically local authorities, environmental bodies and the applicant.

It is normal practice to allow at least three weeks for these. However, the programme presented at Annex E of the Rule 6 letter does not accommodate for Easter. These two bank holidays, and the accompanying school holidays, mean that many staff will not be available for much of this period. Without proper attention from technical experts, rushed or superficial answers to questions are unlikely to assist the Examining Authority.

Extending Deadline 4 does not appear to affect the subsequent examination programme, as there are currently 17 working days between the deadline and the next item. Extending the deadline to 30 April 2024 would still allow two weeks for the submitted material to be published and considered ahead of the hearings planned from 14 May 2024.

To summarise, extending Deadline 4 mitigates the effects of Easter leave without affecting the subsequent programme.

*Item 20* – The Applicant can confirm that no s106 Agreement is currently drafted or is expected to be required.

Under Item 20, an updated Book of Reference is required. However, the Applicant notes no update is required prior to Deadline 7. Therefore, any changes during the pre-examination and the examination will only be captured in the Land Rights Tracker before Deadline 7. The Applicant stated in our letter dated 14<sup>th</sup> September 2023 that we would provide an updated Book of Reference prior to the start of the Examination which is enclosed with this submission. This is accompanied by a change log which we intend to submit alongside every update of the Land Rights Tracker.

### *Invitation to Preliminary Meeting, Open Floor Hearing 1 and Issue Specific Hearing 1*

Please refer to the Applicant's separate letter confirming our attendance at the Preliminary Meeting and Hearings as we note that confirmation should be provided in a separate document.

### *Examining Authority's Unaccompanied Site Visit 1*

The Applicant is pleased that the ExA was able to become familiar with the application site at their unaccompanied site visit of 15 and 16 November. In their record of this visit [EV1-001] the ExA refer to Dragon's Lane as '*a private road and bridleway which the Applicant proposes using as a construction and operational access*'. We would like to reassure the ExA and residents that access A-58 on Dragon's Lane is sought for operational use only, as shown in Table 4.1 and Figure 7.6.4d of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-228].

### Response to Section 51 Advice and further information

The Applicant also writes further to our letter dated 14<sup>th</sup> September 2023 [AS-002] in which we set out our response to the Section 51 Advice issued by the ExA.

In this letter we confirmed our intention to deal with the majority of the issues raised by way of a single Pre-Commencement Submission. In accordance with that letter, we request that the ExA accept the documents listed in Appendix 2 as the Applicant's Procedural Deadline A Submission, including those documents referred to in that previous letter.

This submission is intended to respond to the Section 51 Advice, address minor issues that have been identified by the Applicant and through the Relevant Representation process, and also formally incorporate additional survey information into the DCO application.

In addition to this submission, we are also aware of a number of minor typographical errors and corrections in the DCO submission that will require correction at some stage of the Examination process. As a way of reducing the number of documents needing to be submitted during the examination, the Applicant proposes to make a single consolidated submission to address these errata matters at an appropriate point later on in the examination process. We include details of these errata matters as Appendix 3 of this letter.

## Transport Modelling

Following submission of the Application, the Applicant has reviewed the conclusions of the ES, relevant representations and the Rule 6 letter in relation to traffic and transport matters.

To assess the impact of the Proposed Development at its peak, the likely percentage increase in traffic was determined in Chapter 23: Transport [APP-064] by comparing estimates of construction traffic generated by the Proposed Development with future predicted baseline traffic flows on the road links. This was completed for eight different construction traffic scenarios to account for different construction activity peaks across along the Onshore Cable Corridor.

These scenarios align with the Institute of Environmental Assessment (IEA) (1993) publications 'Guidelines for the Environmental Assessment of Road Traffic' (hereafter referred to as 'GEART') and comprise:

- Peak Week 70: Construction traffic associated with the peak week of four year construction programme (as summarised in Table 23-36 of the ES)
- Section-based peak weeks based the following identified sections of the cable route (as shown in Figure 23.2 of the ES)

The following peak weeks for each section of the three sections were assessed: Section 1: Peak Week 72, Section 2: Peak Week 83 and Section 3: Peak Week 125 (as summarised in Table 23-37 of the ES).

- Annual Average Weekday Traffic (AAWT) for year 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the construction programme (as summarised in Table 23-38 of the ES)

Following its review, the Applicant believes that it would also be beneficial to present a further sensitivity test and updated assessment in order to address issues raised in relevant representations and the principal issues identified by the Examining Authority in its Rule 6 letter. This sensitivity test considers the peak week for traffic at each receptor location. Whilst recognising that this is an unrealistic scenario for consideration of the overall worst case, due to those weeks occurring at different weeks in the programme, this sensitivity test provides a more localised and refined impact assessment, and confirms

the worst case construction traffic impact for all receptor locations within the study area.

Alongside this additional sensitivity test, the Applicant has completed a review of receptors included within the ES, using a cautious approach to their identification so to highlight potential impacts of the Proposed Development at new locations.

Based upon the results of the sensitivity test, three new locations and four additional receptors have been identified for detailed assessment applying the methodology in GEART. The new locations and additional receptors are set out in the table below.

ES Receptor Number requiring detailed assessment following additional sensitivity test	Highways Link
12	A27 High Salvington
18	B2135, South of Ashurst
22	A281, South Shermanbury
New receptor locations requiring detailed assessment following additional sensitivity test	
	A272 Cowfold Road West of the A23
	B2135 North of Spithandle Lane
	A281, High Steet, Henfield
	Michelgrove Lane

This assessment will be completed as part of an ES Addendum which will be submitted to the Examining Authority at Deadline 1. This ES Addendum will also be accompanied by an update to the TTGN (Appendix 23.2) [APP-197]. The results of the additional analysis will also be considered from and noise and vibration and air quality perspective and if required further assessments will be completed as

part of an ES Addendum which will be submitted to the Examining Authority at Deadline 1.

Given the limited number of additional locations identified for detailed assessment and the conclusions of the scenarios presented in the ES to date it is anticipated that any associated construction traffic impacts can be managed through control measures contained within the Outline CTMP.

The Applicant notes that the Examining Authority is planning to hold an Issue Specific Hearing on Traffic and Transport matters on 7 February and therefore wishes to alert them to this ongoing work which will not be available in advance of that hearing. The Applicant believes that productive discussion can still be had at that hearing but would ask the Examining Authority to note the additional receptor locations and receptors detailed above, and that an element of assessment in relation to them will be subject to refinement, when compiling its agenda and questions.

#### Dogger Bank South Wind Farms

As the ExA will be aware the Applicant has submitted a “without prejudice” derogation case [APP-039] in respect of the potential impacts of the Proposed Scheme on the kittiwake population that forms a qualifying interest feature of the Flamborough and Filey Coast Special Protection Area (SPA).

We enclose a letter that the Applicant has received from Dogger Bank South Wind Farms confirming their interest in an existing onshore artificial nesting structure on land within their control at South Shore Road in Gateshead (adjacent to the River Tyne) and that further to this Dogger Bank South may propose further artificial nesting structure(s) as part of the Dogger Bank South projects.

The letter also confirms that, in the event that the Secretary of State decides that the Rampion 2 project can only be consented in reliance upon a derogation case and that the Applicant elects to provide compensation measures, Dogger Bank South would be willing to allocate nesting platforms at its existing onshore artificial nesting structure or any other artificial nesting structure that may be provided as part of the Dogger Bank South projects.

We trust these confirmations are helpful, but please contact the undersigned if you require any further information.

Yours faithfully,

Rampion Extension Development Limited

Encs

## Appendix 1: Changes to Land Rights Tracker Template

Note: The ExA's template tracker was provided to the Applicant in Excel format. The Applicant has opted instead to use Word format because some of the information exceeds the amount that an Excel cell can display. Word also allows cells to be split and merged more easily to display the information more clearly.

Column heading in ExA's template tracker	Explanation of changes made to the ExA's template tracker to create the Applicant's form of tracker
Description of Land and Rights Requested, and Category 1 and 2 columns	<p>The ExA's template tracker includes a separate row for each plot of land. If a row were to be included for each plot the tracker would be very long (like the Book of Reference) and would not comprise an easily digestible snapshot/summary that the ExA can easily interrogate. It would also not be possible to differentiate between the information relating to each Category of land interest.</p> <p>We have therefore grouped the plots by land interest (by name), and included a new column headed "Land Interest Name" which names the persons/entities that submitted a Relevant Representation.</p> <p>We have included a column headed "Interest" which confirms the category into which each interest falls (i.e. Category 1, 2.).</p> <p>We have also included a column headed "Type of Rights" which specifies which plots belonging to each Land Interest are to be subject to the acquisition of land or rights, and which plots are to be subject to temporary possession powers only.</p>
Duration of Temporary Rights column	<p>There are four categories of 'Temporary Possession Only' plots i.e. (1) those needed for the creation and use of a construction compound; (2) those needed for access (during the construction period only); (3) those needed for cable duct stringing; and (4) those needed for the storage of excavated materials.</p> <p>We have specified which (if any) plots belonging to each Land Interest are required for the aforementioned purposes/activities.</p> <p>To avoid duplication, we have removed the proposed 'Duration of Temporary Rights' column and have instead included a table at the top of the tracker which states the approximate duration of each category i.e. each purpose/activity for which temporary possession is sought.</p>
Applicants Response Refs. Column	<p>We have included the abbreviated terms "RR" (Relevant Representation) and "WR" (Written Representation) after the word "Applicant" in the heading of this column so that it is clear that this column contains the references for the Applicant's responses to Relevant Representations and Written Representations. "(RR)" and "(WR)" will be added after each reference in each row.</p>
Special Category columns	<p>We have retained the first 'Special Category' column but amended the heading of that column to read "Land subject to special consideration" as Crown land is different to 'special category land' designated by the 2008 Act.</p> <p>We have deleted the 'Special Category- other information etc.' column as the status information can be included in the "Comments on status of objection/negotiations" column. (See below).</p> <p>The "Land subject to special consideration" column groups the plot(s) of land by category e.g. open space etc., Crown land, statutory undertaker land, and land held inalienably by the National Trust. The column can be filtered by category.</p> <p>We have sought to differentiate between land owned by the Crown and land in which the Crown has an interest.</p>
Protective Provision and Side Agreements columns	<p>Side agreements are being entered into in connection with protective provisions (the side agreements attach an agreed form of protective provisions which modify the standard Protective Provisions in the DCO). We have therefore amended the ExA's template tracker so that it includes a single Protective Provisions/Side Agreements status column with a "Completed", "In negotiation" etc. drop down, and an accompanying "Comment on Status of Protective Provisions/Side Agreements" column which explains the status of the Protective Provisions/Side Agreements in summary.</p>

Statutory Undertaker column	The statutory undertaker column in the template tracker sought to identify if the land interest belonged to a statutory undertaker and if the land in question was 'operational land'. In the case of the Rampion 2 project, the position is more nuanced. In some instances, statutory undertakers own land that is/isn't operational but in other instances statutory undertakers have apparatus and rights in land only. The drop-down menu includes a number of options to capture these nuances.
Status of objection/land negotiations column	We have renamed this column "Comments on status of objection/land negotiations", and relocated it so that it appears after the "Heads of Terms" and "Complete" columns (see further below) when read left to right, so that the ExA can at a glance see whether or not Heads of Terms are required and (if so) have been issued/agreed/not agreed, and whether any agreement has been completed pursuant to agreed Heads of Terms, before reviewing the associated summary text which further explains the status of the negotiations with the Land Interest as at the date of the tracker.
Heads of Terms Column	We have amended this column heading to read "Heads of Terms status".
Complete column	We have amended this column heading to read "Land Agreement status" as it wasn't entirely clear which document this column related to.

## Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents

Document Name	Previous Examination Library Reference	Rev	Comments
Covering Letter	N/A	N/A	Enclosing letter relating to Dogger Bank
Letter confirming attendance at hearings	N/A	N/A	N/A
Volume 1			
1.6 Application Document Tracker	APP-005	B	Updated to reflect Procedural Deadline A Submission.
Volume 2			
2.1.2 Land Plans Onshore	APP-007	B	These plans have been revised to address the issues raised by the Planning Inspectorate in the Section 51 advice.
2.2.1 Offshore Works Plans	APP-008	B	These plans have been revised to address the issues raised by the Planning Inspectorate in the Section 51 advice.
2.2.2 Onshore Works Plans	APP-009	B	These plans have been revised to address the issues raised by the Planning Inspectorate in the Section 51 advice.
2.4 Special Category Land Plan	APP-011	B	These plans have been revised to address the issues raised by the Planning Inspectorate in the Section 51 advice.
2.6 Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan	APP-013	B	These plans have been revised to correct representation of H13 (Sheet 02), H27 (Sheet 04) and H456 (Sheet 34), amended from hedgerows unaffected to hedgerows which may be removed. H377 (Sheet 29) is amended to an Important Hedgerow. To reflect these changes, Schedule 13 - Hedgerows of the draft DCO has also been amended in Procedural Deadline A submission to reflect these updates.
2.8 Onshore Crown Land Plan	APP-015	B	These plans have been revised to address the issues raised by the Planning Inspectorate in the Section 51 advice.
Volume 3			
3.1 Draft Development Consent Order (clean)	APP-019	B	The DCO has been revised to address the issues raised by the Examining Authority in the Section 51 advice and by Interested Parties raised in their Relevant Representations.
3.1 Draft Development Consent Order (tracked change)		B	

Document Name	Previous Examination Library Reference	Rev	Comments
3.3 Schedule of Changes to the Draft Development Consent Order			List of changes made to the draft DCO.
Volume 4			
4.1 Statement of Reasons (clean)	APP-021	B	This has been updated to reflect the cross-referencing check with the Statement of Reasons that was undertaken in accordance with the Section 51 Advice.
4.1 Statement of Reasons (tracked)		B	
4.3 Book of Reference (clean)	APP-026	B	This has been updated in to reflect the information gathered during the Section 56 Notification process.
4.3 Book of Reference (tracked change)		B	
4.4 Land Rights Tracker	N/A	A	Requested in the Rule 6 letter.
Volume 5			
Alternative Schedule 17	5.1.10	A	Omitted from the original DCO application submission.
Volume 6			
6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 21: Noise and vibration (clean)	APP-062	B	Chapter 21: Noise and vibration, Volume 2 [APP-062] has been updated to incorporate the results of further baseline noise monitoring undertaken post-DCO Application submission due to land access constraints.
6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 21: Noise and vibration (tracked change)	APP-062	B	
6.2.25 Environmental Statement – Volume 2 Chapter 25: Historic Environment (clean)	APP-066	B	This chapter has been updated to include an updated assessment to reflect the further geophysical survey results undertaken since the application. These are reported in the Onshore Geophysical Survey Report update (see further information in line below).
6.2.25 Environmental Statement – Volume 2 Chapter 25: Historic Environment (tracked change)	APP-066	B	This includes an update to the potential significant residual effects during the construction phase to include undated possible enclosures or settlement (in locations 38_1, 38_2 and 38_3). Though the finds in this location are previously unidentified, this conclusion regarding the potential significant effects is consistent with that identified in the submitted DCO Application for loss of or disturbance to archaeological remains.  Updates to the Outline Onshore Written Scheme of Investigation [APP-231] and the Planning Statement [APP-036] to reflect these findings will be made prior to the close of Examination.
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figures	APP-106	B	These figures have been updated to reflect updates following stakeholder responses, most up-to-date noise monitoring and correct receptor labelling.
6.4.8.4 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Chapter 8: Appendix 8.4 Black Seabream Underwater Noise Technical Note and Survey Results	N/A	A	This update has been provided to include new data collected since the application was made. This update has been provided in line with the commitments made to stakeholders to update with new information when available.
6.4.15.6 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Chapter 15: Appendix 15.6 Supplementary Night-Time Viewpoint Assessment	APP-176	A	Omitted from the original DCO application submission.
6.4.21.1 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Chapter 21: Appendix 21.1 Baseline Sound Report (clean)	APP-176	B	Updated to include the results of further baseline noise monitoring undertaken post-DCO Application submission due to land access constraints and corrections to receptor number references.

Document Name	Previous Examination Library Reference	Rev	Comments
6.4.21.1 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Chapter 21: Appendix 21.1 Baseline Sound Report (tracked change)	APP-176	B	
6.4.21.2 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Chapter 21: Appendix 21.2 Construction plant list (clean)	APP-177	B	Updated to include the construction compound operational plant list in Table 4-1 and Table 4-2.
6.4.21.2 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Chapter 21: Appendix 21.2 Construction plant list (tracked change)	APP-177	B	
6.4.22.18 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Chapter 22: Appendix 22.18 Passive and active bats activity report 2023	N/A	A	This is a new baseline report for bat surveys undertaken in 2023 to account for additional areas of land not previously surveyed between 2020 and 2022 due to changes in the onshore cable route.
6.4.22.19 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Chapter 22: Appendix 22.19 Hazel dormouse report 2023	N/A	A	This is a new baseline report for hazel dormouse surveys undertaken in 2023 to account for additional areas of land not previously surveyed between 2020 and 2022 due to changes in the onshore cable route.
6.4.25.4 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Chapter 25: Appendix 25.4 Onshore Geophysical Survey Report Parts 1 to 8 (clean)	APP-203 to APP-210	B	This update has been provided to include new geophysical survey data collected since the application was made. This further survey has been undertaken following additional land access being granted. This update has been provided in line with the commitments made to stakeholders to update with new information when available.  The document also includes figures 6.1 to 6.211 which were missing from the original submission document as highlighted to the Applicant by West Sussex County Council.
6.4.25.4 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Chapter 25: Appendix 25.4 Onshore Geophysical Survey Report Parts 1 to 8 (tracked change)	APP-203 to APP-210	B	
Volume 7			
7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (clean)	APP-224	B	These reports have been updated to correct errata identified and detailed in Appendix 1 of this covering letter. A Pond Retention Plan has also been added to detail the ponds retained within the Order Limits. Addition of commitment C-287 and other minor amendments following relevant representations.  Minor update to Section 4.2 to clarify the Applicant's position on the crossing schedule to be provided with the stage specific detailed CoCP.  Addition of protocol for addressing crossings of private means of access in Section 5.7.
7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (tracked change)	N/A	B	
7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (clean)	APP-228	B	
7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (tracked)	N/A	B	The Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP—228] has been updated to include corrections to Table 4-3 (speed limits), 4-4, 5-2, 5-3 and 6-2 and Figures in Appendix B to correct spatial cropping.
7.24 Outline Skills and Employment Strategy (clean)	APP-256	B	Updated further to engagement with the relevant consultees.
7.24 Outline Skills and Employment Strategy (tracked)	N/A	B	

Document Name	Previous Examination Library Reference	Rev	Comments
8.21 Statements of Commonality of Statements of Common Ground	N/A	A	Requested in the Rule 6 letter.
8.22 Examination Progress Tracker	N/A	A	Requested in the Rule 6 letter.
8.23 Worst-case Scenarios Calculations and Illustrations	N/A	A	Requested in the Rule 6 letter.

Appendix 3: Errata

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
TPO and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013]	Tree Preservation and Hedgerow Plan (B) sheets 02, 04 and 34	<p>On Sheet 02 - Hedgerow H13 has been changed from 'Hedgerows unaffected by the proposed development' to 'Hedgerows which may be removed within the Order Limits' to allow for construction access.</p> <p>On Sheet 04 - Hedgerow H27 from 'Hedgerows unaffected by the proposed development' to 'Hedgerows which may be removed within the Order Limits' to allow for construction access.</p> <p>On sheet 34 - Hedgerow H456 from 'Hedgerows unaffected by the proposed development' to 'Hedgerows which may be removed within the Order Limits' to allow additional flexibility for grid connection works.</p> <p>In addition, further information has been provided in the key to enable easier use of the plan.</p>	Following West Sussex County Council comments.	<p>Corrections made to plans and full set of figures for Tree Preservation Order (TPO) and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] resubmitted for Procedural Deadline A.</p> <p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.</p>
Planning Statement [APP-036]	Executive Summary Paras 1.1.1	<p>Text to be updated from:</p> <p>'The Proposed Development is adjacent to the Rampion Offshore Windfarm (referred to as 'Rampion 1') in the English Channel, 13km to 25km off the Sussex coast.'</p> <p>To:</p> <p>'The Proposed Development is adjacent to the Rampion Offshore Windfarm (referred to as 'Rampion 1') in the English Channel, 13km to 26km off the Sussex coast.'</p>	Following the Planning Inspectorate S51 advice	N/A
Planning Statement [APP-036]	Executive Summary Paras 2.1.5	<p>Text to be updated from:</p> <p>'The Proposed Development is adjacent to the Rampion Offshore Windfarm (referred to as 'Rampion 1') in the English Channel, 13km to 25km off the Sussex coast.'</p>	Following the Planning Inspectorate S51 advice	N/A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		To:  'The Proposed Development is adjacent to the Rampion Offshore Windfarm (referred to as 'Rampion 1') in the English Channel, 13km to 26km off the Sussex coast.'		
Environmental Statement Chapter 1 [APP-042]	Volume 2, Chapter 4 Table 4.1	Table 4.1 to be updated from: Wind farm array area for Rampion 2 - 196km <sup>2</sup>  To: Wind farm array area for Rampion 2 - 160km <sup>2</sup> Wind farm array area and wind farm separation zones (where turbines and substations are excluded) - 196km <sup>2</sup>	Following the Planning Inspectorate S51 advice	N/A
Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 4 The Proposed Development [APP-045]	Volume 2, Chapter 4 Table 4.1	Table 4.1 to be updated from: Closest distance to shore of wind farm array area - 13km  To: Closest distance to shore of wind farm array area - 13km Furthest distance to shore of wind farm array area - 26km	Following the Planning Inspectorate S51 advice	N/A
6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 8 Fish and shellfish ecology [APP-049]	Table 8-12, p87	In Table 8-12 for the row "Mortality, injury, behavioural changes and auditory masking arising from noise and vibration", Maximum assessment assumptions is currently stated as "Piling of 90 smaller monopile WTG foundations (13.5m diameter)" this should state: "Piling of 65 larger monopile WTG foundations (13.5m diameter)".	Following the Planning Inspectorate S51 advice	N/A
6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 8 Fish and shellfish ecology [APP-049]	Table 8-12, p87	In Table 8-12 for the row "Monopile turbine parameters", Maximum parameters is currently stated as "Up to 90 monopiles (smaller turbines) 13.5m diameter turbines (larger turbines)" this should state: "Up to 90 monopiles (smaller turbines) 10m diameter turbines".	Following the Planning Inspectorate S51 advice	N/A
Environmental Statement Volume 4 Appendix 21.2 Construction plant list [APP-177]	Volume 4, Appendix 21.2: Construction Plant List [APP-177]	The plant list table assumed for the operational noise modelling of the construction compounds has not been included. This will be amended accordingly.	To correct typographical error.	Environmental Statement Volume 4 Appendix 21.2 Construction plant list [APP-177] has been

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
				<p>submitted at Procedural Deadline A.</p> <p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.</p>
<p>Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]</p>	<p>Table 22-18</p>	<p>Table 22-18 - row identified as 'Ponds' in the final column 'Scoped in / out' should be changed from:            'Out - there are 17 ponds within the proposed DCO Order Limits. All of these ponds have been highlighted for retention within the vegetation retention plan (see C-220 in Table 22.21 in Section 22.7)'            To:            'Out - there are 13 ponds within the proposed DCO Order Limits. All of these ponds have been highlighted for retention within the pond retention plan (see C-220 in Table 22.21 in Section 22.7)'</p>	<p>Following West Sussex County Council comments.</p> <p>Note: Error highlighted to ensure clarity within the assessment and demonstrate scale of potential effect. The change does not alter the outcome of the assessment of Great Crested Newts in Section 22.9.</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>Note that the Procedural Deadline A submission includes a Pond Retention Plan with the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224].</p>
<p>Environmental Statement – Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]</p>	<p>Paragraph 22.9.98</p>	<p>Paragraph 22.9.98 will change from (to highlight additional tree lines affected):            'Both species poor and species rich native hedgerows are HPI. Of the 239 hedgerows that have been identified within the proposed DCO Order Limits, 89 are within locations where losses would occur due to onshore cable installation, access creation or through the construction of the onshore substation. 28 tree lines would also be subject to works when crossed by the onshore cable corridor. Table 22-25 lists the hedgerows and tree lines subject to temporary or permanent losses.'             to             'Both species poor and species rich native hedgerows are HPI. Of the 239 hedgerows that have been identified within the proposed DCO Order Limits, 89 are within locations where losses would occur due to onshore cable installation, access creation or through the</p>	<p>To reflect changes to the Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] and Figure 7.2.1 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224] as part of the Pre-Examination Procedural Deadline A. This results in these related changes within Chapter 22 of the Environmental Statement.</p> <p>Note: These changes overall are not great enough to alter the conclusion drawn in the Ecological Impact Assessment (paragraphs 22.9.107 and 22.9.111). These changes have also been considered in light of the assessment of bats (notably paragraph 22.9.139) where they do not result in the conclusions drawn in paragraphs 22.9.144 and 22.9.147.</p>	<p>N/A</p>

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		<p>construction of the onshore substation. 30 tree lines would also be subject to works when crossed by the onshore cable corridor. Table 22-25 lists the hedgerows and tree lines subject to temporary or permanent losses.'</p>		
<p>Environmental Statement – Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]</p>	<p>Table 22-25 and accompanying footnote 45</p>	<p>Table 22-25 requires the following changes to align with the reissued Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] and Figure 7.2.1 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224]. The changes are noted as:</p> <p>A Row titled 'H27' is added showing loss of 6m (for construction access)  A row titled 'H146a' is added showing loss of 14m (for cable installation)  A row titled 'H149a' is removed (loss not required)  In row titled 'H168' the loss has been reduced from 14m to 6m (reducing impact on important hedgerow)  In row titled 'H257' the loss has increased from 14m to 28m as hedgerow is crossed twice  Row titled 'H382' has been removed (loss not required)  Row titled 'H450' has been removed (loss not required)  A row titled 'H456' is added showing a loss of 6m (additional space for cable connection)  A row titled 'W544' has been added with loss of 20m (tree line omitted in error)  A row titled 'W557' has been added with loss of 14m (for cable installation)</p> <p>A table footnote (footnote 45) is also to be added that reads 'Table 22-25 lists hedgerows that qualify as ecologically important only; historically important hedgerows are described in Chapter 25 Historic Environment [APP-066]'</p>	<p>To reflect changes to the Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] and Figure 7.2.1 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224].</p> <p>These Errata provide accurate quantification for the assessment of native hedgerows (species rich and species poor) and treelines in Section 22.9 of Chapter 22.</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Environmental Statement – Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation</p>	<p>Paragraph 22.9.100</p>	<p>For consistency with changes to Table 22-25, Paragraph 22.9.100 is to change from:  'The installation of the onshore cable, the construction of the onshore substation and the temporary works required to deliver temporary</p>	<p>To reflect changes to the Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] and Figure 7.2.1 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224].</p>	<p>N/A</p>

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
[APP-063]		<p>construction compounds and access routes during the construction phase will result in the loss or change of native hedgerow. The length of hedgerow to be temporarily lost is 1,130m (of which 244m is species-rich) and permanently lost is 622m (of which 0m is species-rich). These losses represent a realistic worst-case scenario underpinned by commitments C-220 and C-224 (shown on the vegetation retention plan in the Outline CoCP (Document Reference: 7.2))"</p> <p>to:</p> <p>"The installation of the onshore cable, the construction of the onshore substation and the temporary works required to deliver temporary construction compounds and access routes during the construction phase will result in the loss or change of native hedgerow. The length of hedgerow to be temporarily lost is 1,120m (of which 242m is species-rich) and permanently lost is 622m (of which 0m is species-rich). These losses represent a realistic worst-case scenario underpinned by commitments C-220 and C-224 (shown on the vegetation retention plan in the Outline CoCP (Document Reference: 7.2))"</p>	<p>These Errata provide accurate quantification for the assessment of native hedgerows (species rich and species poor) and treelines.</p>	
Environmental Statement-- Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]	Paragraph 22.9.101	<p>For consistency with changes to Table 22-25, Paragraph 22.9.101 is to change from:</p> <p>"In total 42m of the hedgerow to be temporarily lost is deemed to be important under the Hedgerow Regulations 1997, with a further 84m of hedgerow considered potentially important (hedgerows where detailed survey data is not available, and where potential to be important cannot be ruled out). No hedgerows to be lost permanently qualify as important under the Hedgerow Regulations 1997. The length of tree line to be temporarily lost is 378m, with no permanent loss expected"</p> <p>To:</p>	<p>To reflect changes to the Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] and Figure 7.2.1 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224].</p> <p>These Errata provide accurate quantification for the assessment of native hedgerows (species rich and species poor) and treelines in Section 22.9 of Environmental Statement-- Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063].</p>	N/A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		<p>"In total 34m of the hedgerow to be temporarily lost is deemed to be important under the Hedgerow Regulations 1997 (with regards ecological importance), with a further 90m of hedgerow considered potentially important (hedgerows where detailed survey data is not available, and where potential to be important cannot be ruled out). No hedgerows to be lost permanently qualify as important under the Hedgerow Regulations 1997. The length of tree line to be temporarily lost is 412m, with no permanent loss expected"</p>		
<p>Environmental Statement-- Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]</p>	<p>Paragraph 22.9.104</p>	<p>For consistency with changes to Table 22-25, Paragraph 22.9.104 is to change from:          "The Proposed Development will lead to a loss of approximately 2,130m of hedgerow / tree line. Although this is a substantial length of hedgerow / tree line it is equivalent to losing the hedgerow from a single field of approximately 25ha and therefore in comparison to an onshore cable corridor of 38.8km it is relatively modest, especially when it is considered that approximately 1,508m will be reinstated"</p> <p>To:          "The Proposed Development will lead to a loss of approximately 2,154m of hedgerow / tree line. Although this is a substantial length of hedgerow / tree line it is equivalent to losing the hedgerow from a single field of approximately 25ha and therefore in comparison to an onshore cable corridor of 38.8km it is relatively modest, especially when it is considered that approximately 1,532m will be reinstated"</p>	<p>To reflect changes to the Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] and Figure 7.2.1 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224].</p> <p>These Errata provide accurate quantification for the assessment of native hedgerows (species rich and species poor) and treelines.</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Environmental Statement-- Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]</p>	<p>Paragraph 22.9.139</p>	<p>For consistency with changes to Table 22-25, Paragraph 22.9.139 is to change from:          "The length of hedgerow to be temporarily lost is 1,130m (of which 244m is species-rich) and permanently lost is 622m (of which 0m is species-rich). The length of tree line to be temporarily lost is 378m,</p>	<p>To reflect changes to the Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-013] and Figure 7.2.1 of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224].</p>	<p>N/A</p>

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		<p>with no permanent losses expected. These losses represent a realistic worst-case scenario underpinned by commitment C-220 (shown on the vegetation retention plan in the Outline CoCP (Document Reference: 7.2)). In addition, approximately 0.4ha of woodland and 1ha of scrub will be lost. Together these habitats represent the best habitat for bats within the proposed DCO Order Limits that is to be lost. Although the amount of habitat temporarily and permanently lost is sizeable, it is located along the length of a 38.8km onshore cable route. This means that in any given location the losses are relatively modest. Furthermore, within a core sustenance zone of any individual bat the level of loss will be small in comparison to the available habitat available in adjacent areas for commuting and foraging. It is also noted that, through the implementation of the vegetation retention plan (see commitment C220) and the approach to hedgerow crossings (see commitment C-115), the proposed DCO Order Limits will maintain habitat that can be utilised by bats. In the case of hedgerows, in the majority of instances the hedgerow will remain navigable and usable by bats for commuting and foraging"</p> <p>To:  "The length of hedgerow to be temporarily lost is 1,120m (of which 242m is species-rich) and permanently lost is 622m (of which 0m is species-rich). The length of tree line to be temporarily lost is 412m, with no permanent losses expected. These losses represent a realistic worst-case scenario underpinned by commitment C-220 (shown on the vegetation retention plan in the Outline CoCP (Document Reference: 7.2)). In addition, approximately 0.4ha of woodland and 1ha of scrub will be lost. Together these habitats represent the best habitat for bats within the proposed DCO Order Limits that is to be lost.</p>	<p>These Errata provide accurate quantification for the assessment of native hedgerows (species rich and species poor) and treelines.</p>	

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		<p>Although the amount of habitat temporarily and permanently lost is sizeable, it is located along the length of a 38.8km onshore cable route. This means that in any given location the losses are relatively modest. Furthermore, within a core sustenance zone of any individual bat the level of loss will be small in comparison to the available habitat available in adjacent areas for commuting and foraging. It is also noted that, through the implementation of the vegetation retention plan (see commitment C220) and the approach to hedgerow crossings (see commitment C-115), the proposed DCO Order Limits will maintain habitat that can be utilised by bats. In the case of hedgerows, in the majority of instances the hedgerow will remain navigable and usable by bats for commuting and foraging"</p>		
<p>Environmental Statement-- Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]</p>	<p>Table 20-20</p>	<p>Table 20-20 has been updated specifically with regards Commitment C-115 and C-220. Row C-115 now reads:</p> <p>Hedgerows/tree lines crossed by the cable route will be 'notched' to reduce habitat loss and landscape and heritage impacts wherever possible. This is defined as removing one or more short sections (notches) within the same hedgerow/tree line. The removed sections will by default be replanted except where permanently lost on the Vegetation Retention Plan (see Appendix B – Vegetation Retention Plans in the Outline Code of Construction Practice). Where appropriate, hedgerows will be temporarily translocated to maintain diversity and structure and result in more rapid reinstatement. Hedgerow/tree line losses will be kept to approximately 14m total width at each hedgerow crossing point where notching can take place. For hedgerows deemed "important" under the Hedgerows Regulations 1997 (or where there are other considerations), losses will be reduced to a 6m notch for the temporary construction haul roads only, by trenchless installation of the cable</p>	<p>Amendments to these commitments have been made to improve clarity.</p>	<p>N/A</p>

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		<p>ducts under them wherever possible (see Appendix B-- Vegetation Retention Plans in the Outline Code of Construction Practice for the extent of hedgerow losses at each location).</p> <p>Hedgerows subject to temporary translocation will be lifted using a tree spade to maintain diversity and structure and result in more rapid reinstatement. Where chances of success are questionable, notches will be made by removal and reinstatement through planting. The eCoW will justify the approach being taken in line with the responsibilities of implementing the vegetation retention plan (see C-220).</p> <p>Reinstated hedgerows and tree lines will be monitored over a period of 10 years, and remedial action taken rapidly where signs of failure are identified.</p> <p>Further details are provided in the outline Code of Construction Practice and outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan.</p> <p>Row C-220 now reads:</p> <p>The Vegetation Retention Plans that accompany the Outline Code of Construction Practice shows hedgerows, tree lines, woodland, scrub, calcareous grassland, semi-improved species rich grassland and, ponds and watercourses which are to be retained. Should any of these highlighted habitats require removal due to unforeseen circumstances at the detailed design phase, they will be highlighted to the relevant competent authority with a reasoned justification provided. These unforeseen, additional losses would be accounted for through commitment C-104 covering the commitment to the provision of biodiversity net gain.</p> <p>The corresponding changes are reflected in the updated Outline Code of Construction Practice</p>		

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		[APP-224] that has been provided in the Pre-examination Procedural Deadline A.		
Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063]	Paragraph 22.9.172	<p>Paragraph 22.9.172 should note a different number of ponds. The change should be from:</p> <p>'A total of 17 ponds are located within the proposed DCO Order Limits, with a further 247 within 250m of it. Of these ponds 113 were subject to eDNA survey for great crested newts with 36 providing positive results, 31 inconclusive results (assumed on a precautionary basis to be positive) and 46 negative results. Four of the positive results are from waterbodies within the proposed DCO Order Limits (see Appendix 22.7: Great Crested Newt environmental DNA survey report 2021-2023, Volume 4 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.4.22.7) for distribution). The desk study (Appendix 22.2: Terrestrial ecology desk study, Volume 4 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.4.22.2)) also provided 155 records of great crested newts in the area, demonstrating that they are widespread across the general area.'</p> <p>To:</p> <p>'A total of 13 ponds are located within the proposed DCO Order Limits, with a further 247 within 250m of it. Of these ponds 113 were subject to eDNA survey for great crested newts with 36 providing positive results, 31 inconclusive results (assumed on a precautionary basis to be positive) and 46 negative results. Four of the positive results are from waterbodies within the proposed DCO Order Limits (see Appendix 22.7: Great Crested Newt environmental DNA survey report 2021-2023, Volume 4 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.4.22.7) for distribution). The desk study (Appendix 22.2: Terrestrial ecology desk study, Volume 4 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.4.22.2)) also provided 155 records of great</p>	These Errata correct that there are 13, as opposed to 17, ponds within the proposed Order Limits. Note that there are 17 waterbodies are described in the Great Crested Newt Survey report, because these include 13 ponds and 4 ditches.	N/A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		crested newts in the area, demonstrating that they are widespread across the general area.'		
Environmental Statement - Appendix 22.16 Arboricultural Impact Assessment [APP-194]	Table 7-8	Table 7-8 states for trees (column title 'Feature Reference) T-609, T-611, T-613 and T-617 could be lost should the trenchless crossing compound TC-22a be used in this location. It has been confirmed that these trees would be retained in all situations, including when allowing access and therefore these rows should be deleted from Table 7-8.	These Errata correct that the status of trees at TCC-22a.	N/A
Environmental Statement - Appendix 22.16 Arboricultural Impact Assessment [APP-194]	Table 8-5	Table 8-5, in row detailing 'Species mix reference C' is to be updated to remove the following species;  1. <i>Quercus cerris</i> - Turkey oak 2. <i>Quercus ilex</i> - Holm oak 3. <i>Quercus x turneri 'Pseudoturneri'</i> - Turners oak	Change requested by WSCC to avoid planting of three species of non-native tree that can spread to unwanted areas. Table 8-5 is to exclude these so that they are not included within any future stage specific Landscape and Ecology Management Plans.	N/A
Rampion 2 ES Volume 4 – Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224]	Table 1-1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PROW-1de-06 – should be referenced as FP2163/1</li> <li>• PROW-1de-21 – should read as FP2260/1</li> <li>• PROW-1ed-24 – should read as Restricted Byway 2092</li> <li>• PROW-1ed-25 – should read as Restricted Byway 2693</li> <li>• PROW-1dw-24 – should read as Bridleway 2282/1</li> </ul>	Following WSCC engagement.	Rampion 2 ES Volume 4 – Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224] as updated at Procedural Deadline A.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
Rampion 2 6.4.4.1 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 4.1 Crossing schedule [APP-122]	Table 1-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PROW-1de-06 – should be referenced as FP2163/1</li> <li>• PROW-1de-21 – should read as FP2260/1</li> <li>• PROW-1ed-24 – should read as Restricted Byway 2092</li> <li>• PROW-1ed-25 – should read as Restricted Byway 2693</li> <li>• PROW-1dw-24 – should read as Bridleway 2282/1</li> </ul>	Following WSCC engagement.	N/A
Rampion 2 ES Volume 2 - Chapter 19 Air quality [APP-060]	Table 19-28	Row 4, column B to be updated to:  Landfall: Construction compound of 100m × 120m Trenchless Crossings (TCs) to be used at landfall	Update to Table 19-28 Maximum parameters and assessment assumptions for impacts on air quality (Corrections made: Oakendene substation maximum main building	N/A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		<p>to connect offshore cables with the onshore cable corridor.</p> <p>Onshore cable corridor: Up to 40m wide corridor for construction works, including four trenches, temporary spoil heaps, and access track; this may be expanded at discrete locations to accommodate working area for example for trenchless crossings (see Chapter 4: The Proposed Development, Volume 2 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.4)).</p> <p>Onshore cable is approximately 38.8km long.</p> <p>Temporary construction compounds and laydown areas as shown within the onshore part of the proposed DCO Order Limits. Trenchless crossing sites as detailed in Chapter 4: The Proposed Development, Volume 2 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.4).</p> <p>Total installation duration up to three years.</p> <p>Oakendene onshore substation: Overall built site footprint: 6 hectares within the onshore part of the proposed DCO Order Limits. Maximum number of buildings 12. Maximum main building height 12.5m. Duration of construction three years.</p> <p>Existing National Grid Bolney substation extension works: Overall built site footprint: 0.63 hectares within the onshore part of the proposed DCO Order Limits. Maximum number of buildings 2. Maximum main building height 12m. Duration of construction up to one year.</p>	<p>height corrected from 12m to 12.5m, NG Bolney substation overall built size corrected from 0.7ha to 0.63ha, and maximum number of buildings corrected from 1 to 2). Corrections to be made for consistency and do not have any impact on the assessment previously undertaken.</p>	
<p>Rampion 2 ES Volume 4 - Appendix 23.1: Abnormal Indivisible Loads assessment [APP-196]</p>	<p>Various: Para 1.1.4 Para 2.1.7 Para 5.1.1</p>	<p>Para 1.1.4: The AIL Assessment considers the requirement to deliver four transformers and six shunt reactors, and as such there will be ten AIL deliveries all to the onshore substation site at Oakendene.</p> <p>Para 2.1.7: The largest component requiring delivery to the onshore substation will be transformers. It is expected that there will four transformers</p>	<p>Update to the number of AIL movements from 9 to 10 total (number of transformers increased from 3 to 4). This change results in an update to the description however no change to the assessment is required.</p>	<p>N/A</p>

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		<p>required at the onshore substation. The weight of plant considered in the AIL Assessment is based on the currently assumed maximum weight of a transformer of 272 tonnes with an envelope of 12m long x 4.4m wide x 5m high.</p> <p>Para 5.1.1: The movement of AILs are low in number (approximately ten), will be spread over a number of days, and is expected to result in no more than one movement in any one day, potentially during the night. The port of entry will be Shoreham Port for the transformers and shunt reactors and the delivery routes of the AILs will use established routes but there will be consultation with National Highways, local highway authorities and local planning authorities.</p>		
Rampion 2 ES Volume 4 - Appendix 23.2: Traffic Generation Technical Note [APP-197]	Bullet point after Para 5.2.14	<p>Abnormal Indivisible Loads (AILs) will be comprised of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Four transformers; and six shunt reactors.</li> </ul> <p>Temporary construction and operational accesses.</p>	Update to the number of AIL movements from 9 to 10 total. This change results in an update to the description however no change to the assessment is required.	N/A
7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224]	Para 5.6.20	Incorrect reference at Para 5.6.20 to the Outline Construction Method Statement (should be Document Reference: 7.23, NOT 7.10).	To correct typographical error of Para 5.6.20. and confirm whether there is any risk assessment for AWL in the OCMS	<p>7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224] updated for PINs Procedural Deadline A Submission.</p> <p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.</p>
7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice Appendix B - Vegetation Retention Plans. [APP-224]	Appendix B, Figures 7.2.1 a-k.	The Hedgerow retention and treeline retention plan (Figure 7.2.1 a - k) has been updated following a review to ensure consistency with the assessment within the Environmental Statement Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation and Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan. The following hedgerow / tree lines have had their status changed:	Following a review to ensure consistency with the assessment within the Environmental Statement Chapter 22 Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063] and Tree Preservation Order and Hedgerow Plan [APP-224].	Figure 7.2.1 and Figure 7.2.2 updated and Additional Figure 7.2.5 has been added and submitted as part of the Procedural Deadline A submissions.

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		<p>H27 (Figure 7.2.1b)– temporary loss of 6m (loss not previously accounted for)  H146a (Figure 7.2.1f) - temporary loss of 14m (loss not previously accounted for)  H168 (Figure 7.2.1g) – temporary loss of 6m (loss reduced from 14m to 6m)  H257 (Figure 7.2.1h) – temporary loss of 28m (loss increased by 14m as two crossings of same hedgerow)  H456 (Figure 7.2.1k) - temporary loss of 6m (loss not previously accounted for)  W544 (Figure 7.2.1k) - temporary loss of 20m (loss not previously accounted for)  W557 (Figure 7.2.1j)- temporary loss of 14m (loss not previously accounted for)</p> <p>W591 (Figure 7.2.2f) - updated to cover full area of woodland which is crossed by trenchless crossing.</p> <p>In addition a new set of figures has been added to Appendix B of the Outline Code of Construction Practice -- this is a Pond retention plan (Figure 7.2.5)</p>		<p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.</p>
<p>7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224]</p>	<p>Table 5-7</p>	<p>C-71 wording in the CoCP is not correct to the latest version of the Commitments Register. The following sentence to be added to the commitment wording: "This will be informed by targeted ground investigation, in line with the findings of the Phase 1 Desk Study."</p>	<p>To correct typographical error</p>	<p>Table 5-7 has been updated in the 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-224] updated for Procedural Deadline A submission.</p> <p>Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.</p>
<p>Rampion 2 Outline Code of Construction Practice -- Appendix A -- Crossing Schedule [APP-224]</p>	<p>Table 1-1</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PROW-1de-06 – should be referenced as FP2163/1</li> <li>• PROW-1de-21 – should read as FP2260/1</li> <li>• PROW-1ed-24 – should read as Restricted Byway 2092</li> <li>• PROW-1ed-25 – should read as Restricted</li> </ul>	<p>To correct typographical error</p>	<p>Updated Appendix A Crossing Schedule [APP-224] Table 1-1 with corrections identified by West Sussex County</p>

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		Byway 2693 • PROW-1dw-24 – should read as Bridleway 2282/1		Council COCP update for Procedural Deadline A.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224]	6.5.9, Table 6-2	Table 6-2 has formatting issues, duplication and is missing some accesses/traffic numbers.	To correct typographical error	Table updated within 7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224] for Procedural Deadline A.
7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224]	Appendix B Figures 7.6.1a and 7.6.1b, and 7.6.2a and 7.6.2b, 7.6.4c, and 7.6.6a and 7.6.6b, 7.6.9a and 7.6.9b	Figures in appendix B are incorrectly cropped in some instances excluding certain areas of the works. Figures will have been updated to resolve cropping issue.	To correct typographical error	Figures updated to resolve cropping issue for and submitted with the pre-examination deadline and Procedural Deadline A submission errata.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224]	Table 4-3	Outline Construction Management Plan, Table 4-3 – For A-15 and A-16 the speed limit on the Lyminster by-pass is indicated as 30mph. The planning drawings for the proposed road however indicate the speed limit will be 50mph in the indicative access locations. For A-42, the speed limit is 60mph rather than 50mph. For A-44, A-45, A-46 and A-47 the speed limit is 60mph rather than 40mph. For A-25, A-27, A-43 the speed limit is also 60mph rather than 30mph.	To correct typographical error	Table updated within 7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224] for Procedural Deadline A.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224]	Table 6-2 Page 47	Table 6.2 duplicates the first two pages in the second half and requires amendment. Need to correct.	To correct typographical error	Table updated within 7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224] for Procedural Deadline A.

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
				Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224]	Table 6-2 Page 47	Outline Construction Management Plan, 6.5.8, Table 6-2 – The wording within 6.5.8 indicates that the totals within Table 6-2 are for the entire construction phase. However, Table 6-2 includes the wording '2 way total per week'. This is misleading and should be corrected. Transport team to review and confirm table can be updated independent of modelling.	To correct typographical error	Table updated within 7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224] for Deadline A.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224]	Table 4-4	Table 4-4 needs to be amended to reflect the fact that LGV refers to Light Goods Vehicles that are less than 3.5t and HGVs are goods vehicles heavier than 3.5t.	To correct typographical error	Table updated within 7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224] for Procedural Deadline A.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224]	Table (No.3) 5-2	Outline CTMP refers to Wineham Lane as single track, the terminology under DMRB should be single two lane carriageway.	To correct typographical error	Table updated within 7.6 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-224] for Procedural Deadline A.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
7.8 Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan [APP-230]	Para 5.3.9, Page 33	Text to be updated from: "PRoW users may have to wait for a short period of time whilst the shared route is in use by the construction traffic...."	Public access rights will be maintained where it is safe to do so to reflect the shared usage of such PRoW during the construction period. In limited	N/A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		To: "Where it is safe to do so all construction traffic will give-way to PRow users. However, very occasionally PRow users may have to wait for a short period of time whilst the shared route is in use by the construction traffic...."	occurrences, however, construction traffic may need to proceed to clear to the route so it is available for the safe passage of members of the public.	
7.8 Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan [APP-230]	Para 5.3.12, Page 34	Text to be updated from: "PRow users may have to wait for a short period of time whilst the shared route is in use by the construction workers...." To: "Where it is safe to do so all construction traffic will give-way to PRow users. However, very occasionally PRow users may have to wait for a short period of time whilst the shared route is in use by the construction workers...."	Public access rights will be maintained where it is safe to do so to reflect the shared usage of the PRow during the construction period. In limited occurrences, however, construction traffic may need to proceed to clear to the route so it is available for the safe passage of members of the public.	N/A
7.8 Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan [APP-230]	Para 5.3.15, Page 34	Text to be updated from: "PRow users may have to wait for a short period of time whilst the shared route is in use by the construction workers...." To: "Where it is safe to do so all construction traffic will give-way to PRow users. However, very occasionally PRow users may have to wait for a short period of time whilst the shared route is in use by the construction workers...."	Public access rights will be maintained where it is safe to do so to reflect the shared usage of the PRow during the construction period. In limited occurrences, however, construction traffic many need to proceed to clear to the route so it is available for the safe passage of members of the public.	N/A
7.10 Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan [APP-232]	Para 2.6.6, Page 13	Current text reads: 'Species selection will be confirmed as part of the stage specific LEMP and will be restricted to the use of native species selected from Table 2-1.' Clarification: 'Species selection will be confirmed as part of the stage specific LEMP and will include the use of native species selected from Table 2-1. Where appropriate non-native species listed in Table 8-5 of the Arboricultural Impact Assessment (Environmental Statement, Appendix 22.16) may be included.'	A clarification has been provided to ensure consistency with Environmental Statement Appendix 22.16 Arboricultural Impact Assessment.	N/A
6.2.26 Environmental Statement - Column 2, Chapter 26: Water environment [APP-067]	First sentence in Paragraph 26.6.27, Page 93	To update the text from: '...the confluence of Adur Knepp and Blakes Gill near West Grinstead (NGR TQ 168206) towards its discharge into the non-tidal Arun to the west of Henfield (NGR TQ 194166).'	To correct some erroneous references to the River Arun where there should only be reference to the River Adur	N/A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		To: '...the confluence of Adur Knepp and Blakes Gill near West Grinstead (NGR TQ 168206) towards its discharge into the non-tidal River Adur to the west of Henfield (NGR TQ 194166).'		
6.2.26 Environmental Statement - Column 2, Chapter 26: Water environment [APP-067]	First sentence in Paragraph 26.6.28, Page 93	To update the text from: '...channel flows for approximately 6.6km in a south-westerly direction from the Goddards Green branch near Twineham Green towards its discharge point into the tidal Arun.' To: '...channel flows for approximately 6.6km in a south-westerly direction from the Goddards Green branch near Twineham Green towards its discharge point into the tidal Arun.'	To correct some erroneous references to the River Arun where there should only be reference to the River Adur	N/A
6.2.26 Environmental Statement - Column 2, Chapter 26: Water environment [APP-067]	Third sentence in Paragraph 26.6.30, Page 93	To update the text from: '...the confluence of Adur Knepp and Blakes Gill near West Grinstead (NGR TQ 168206) towards its discharge into the non-tidal Arun to the west of Henfield (NGR TQ 194166).'	To correct some erroneous references to the River Arun where there should only be reference to the River Adur.	N/A
6.2.26 Environmental Statement - Column 2, Chapter 26: Water environment [APP-067]	Table 26-32, Page 213	Lyminster Bypass scheme to be added as new row to table 26-32.  Column 1 (ID) : "59"  Column 2 (Development type): "Highways - creation of a 1.1km highway, with shared cycleway and footway, Pegasus crossing, viaduct, culvert, wetland areas, balancing pond and swales, street lighting and associated works"  Column 3 (Development name): "Lyminster Bypass Scheme"  Column 4 (Application reference): "WSCC/049/18/LY"  Column 5 (Status): "Approved - under	The EA made the following comment in their relevant representations (2.6.5): The Lyminster bypass, currently being built, is not mentioned in cumulative effects although the proposed cable route intersects with this. We would like it to be acknowledged in the documents that contact has been made with the developers as this is now more pertinent than the A27 bypass which is currently on hold.	N/A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		<p>construction"</p> <p>Column 6 (Confidence in assessment): "High"</p> <p>Column 7 (Tier): "1"</p> <p>Column 8 (Distance to Rampion 2 (m)): "The site is intersected by the proposed DCO Order Limits between Crossbush and Wick. A simple qualitative level of assessment is carried out (in Table 26-34) on the basis that a successful implementation of embedded and standard good industry practice measures will offset any potential significant effects."</p>		
<p>6.2.26 Environmental Statement - Column 2, Chapter 26: Water environment [APP-067]</p>	<p>Table 26-34, Page 234</p>	<p>Lyminster Bypass scheme to be added as new row to Table 26-34.</p> <p>Column 1 (Project): "ID 59: Lyminster Bypass Scheme"</p> <p>Column 2 (Discussion): "The overall site area is approximately 13ha, which in the context of the Black Ditch catchment of 2,821ha is small. The development intersects the proposed DCO Order Limits, however site completion is currently forecasted for Autumn 2024, therefore it is unlikely that the potential impacts from the schemes will overlap. It is assumed that best practice measures will be successfully implemented on their site, along with other mitigation measures set out within the Flood Risk Assessment (WSP, 2018) to ensure there are no significant effects. On this basis, there is no potential for significant cumulative effects to arise."</p> <p>Column 3 (Likely significant cumulative effect?): "No"</p>	<p>The EA made the following comment in their relevant reps (2.6.5): The Lyminster bypass, currently being built, is not mentioned in cumulative effects although the proposed cable route intersects with this. We would like it to be acknowledged in the documents that contact has been made with the developers as this is now more pertinent than the A27 bypass which is currently on hold.</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>6.2.26 Environmental Statement - Column 2, Chapter 26: Water environment [APP-067]</p>	<p>Section 26.16, Page 258</p>	<p>Add reference for Lyminster Bypass 2019 Planning Application on page 258. Text to be added: "WSP Environment &amp; Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited, (2018). Lyminster Bypass 2019 Planning Application, NPPF Flood Risk</p>	<p>The EA made the following comment in their relevant reps (2.6.5): The Lyminster bypass, currently being built, is not mentioned in cumulative effects</p>	<p>N/A</p>

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		Assessment [online]. Available at: <a href="https://westsussex.planning-register.co.uk/Planning/Display/WSCC/049/18/LY">https://westsussex.planning-register.co.uk/Planning/Display/WSCC/049/18/LY</a> [Accessed 30/11/2023]."	although the proposed cable route intersects with this. We would like it to be acknowledged in the documents that contact has been made with the developers as this is now more pertinent than the A27 bypass which is currently on hold.	
7.10 Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan [APP-232]	Para 1.2.6, Page 6	<p>Paragraph 1.2.6 should be changed from:</p> <p>'The stage specific LEMPs shall also include planting specifications, plant schedules (detailing number of plants / density / size and species), landscape programme of works (including targeted planting seasons and advance planting opportunities) and a landscape management plan (including maintenance and monitoring) to cover years one to ten to ensure the establishment of the landscape proposals.'</p> <p>To:</p> <p>'The stage specific LEMPs shall also include planting specifications, plant schedules (detailing number of plants / density / size and species), landscape programme of works (including targeted planting seasons and advance planting opportunities) and a landscape management plan (including maintenance and monitoring) (that are also in accordance with Appendix 22.16 Arboricultural Impact Assessment [APP-194] to cover years one to ten to ensure the establishment of the landscape proposals.'</p>	A clarification has been provided to ensure consistency with Environmental Statement Appendix 22.16 Arboricultural Impact Assessment.	N/A
6.2.12 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 12 Offshore and intertidal ornithology [APP-053]	Paragraph 12.13.122	Amend the predicted annual number of collisions for herring gull due to the Project alone from 634 to 63.	NE made the following comment in their RR: The predicted annual number of collisions for herring gull due to the Project alone is stated here to be 634, and the PVA has been carried out on that basis. Previously, in paragraph 12.13.122, it was given as 63.	N/A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
6.2.11 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 11 Marine mammals [APP-052]	Table 11-13	Amend the number of pin pile foundations required for offshore substations to 36.	NE made the following comment in their RR: There is a discrepancy in the number of pin pile foundations required for offshore substations; both 18 and 36 are listed in this table.	N/A
6.2.11 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 11 Marine mammals [APP-052]	Table 11-31	Amend the percentage of the Management Unit (MU) affected from:  '1.6% of the bottlenose dolphin MU and 0.6% of the common dolphin MU.'  To:  '0.72% of the bottlenose dolphin MU and 0.35% of the common dolphin MU.'	NE made the following comment in their RR: The percentage of the Management Unit (MU) affected does not tally with the number of individuals affected for bottlenose dolphin and common dolphin.	N/A
6.4.11.1 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 11.1 Marine mammal baseline technical report [APP-147]	Table 8-1, Figure 8.1	Amend errors in Table 8.1. The Table should state there were 7 sightings of unidentified seal species: 3 in July 2019, 1 in December 2019, 1 in February 2020, 1 in March 2020 and 1 in August 2020. The total number of 9 sightings in Figure 8.1 is correct when considering the total number of seal species identified: 7 unidentified seal species sightings and the 2 sightings of grey seals that were positively identified.	NE made the following comment in their RR: There appear to be 9 sightings on this figure, yet Table 8-1 only list a total count of 5. This difference should be clarified.	N/A
6.4.11.2 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 11.2 Marine mammal quantitative underwater noise impact assessment [APP-148]	Paragraph 2.3.3, 2.3.4 page 13	Amend 116 turbines to 90 turbines.	NE made the following comment in their RR: This document does not appear to have been updated with the revised Maximum Design Scenario (MDS) e.g. it still refers to 116 turbines. All documents should reflect the submitted MDS.	N/A
6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 8 Fish and shellfish ecology [APP-049]	Table 8-12	Amend the maximum duration of piling to 4.5 hours piling per pile	NE made the following comment in their RR: We note that there are inconsistencies between the worst-case scenario presented here in terms of piling and in Appendix 11.3, particularly in relation to the maximum duration of piling. These inconsistencies exist across all areas of the project and must be rectified.	N/A
6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 8 Fish and	Table 8-12	Amend cable length given in table from 10km to 40km.	NE made the following comment in their RR: We note that for the interconnector cable the 'maximum rock protection area for interconnector	N/A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
shellfish ecology [APP-049]			cables (based on 20% of 10km cable requiring protection) = 122,000m <sup>2</sup> . However, we note in the project description chapter the length of cables is 40km rather than 10km	
6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 8 Fish and shellfish ecology [APP-049]	Paragraph 8.6.81, page 81	Paragraph 8.6.81 states that "Area 453 and 488 (for which a seasonal restriction on activities was required for the period April to June inclusive)". This is incorrect and should state that for Area 453: "All licensed activities must not be undertaken in the Eastern Section of Area 453 (Zone B) between 1st April and 31st July inclusive, and in the Western Section of Area 453 (Zone A) between 1st April and 30th June".  Note, the text for Area 488 remains the same.	NE made the following comment in their RR: The current licence conditions for Area 453 are incorrectly stated.	N/A
7.17 Rampion 2 In Principle Sensitive Features Mitigation Plan (IPSFMP) [APP-239]	Paragraph 5.3.3	Amend reference of records of seahorses in the "south-western" region, to "south-eastern".	NE made the following comment in their RR: This incorrectly refers to records of seahorses in the south-western region, whereas Rampion 2 is in the south-east.	N/A
7.15 Draft Unexploded Ordnance Clearance Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol [APP-237]	Table 3-1, 5.5.2	Amend SPLpeak PTS range for VHF cetaceans and the 525 kg charge weight from 2.5km to 13km.	The MMO made the following comment in their RR: Please note that there is a mistake in Table 3-1 and the predicted SPLpeak PTS range for VHF cetaceans and the 525 kg charge weight is 13 km (and not 2.5 km)	N/A
7.15 Draft Unexploded Ordnance Clearance Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol [APP-237]	Table 4-1, 5.5.3/5.5.4	Amend "underwear noise" to "underwater noise" in Table 4-1 under C-275.	The MMO made the following comment in their RR: MMO recommends the Applicant change "underwear noise" to "underwater noise" in Table 4-1 under C-275.	N/A
6.2.11 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 11 Marine mammals [APP-052]	Table 11-34	Amend the distance given for the two rows (O1 and O2) titled Johnston Oil and Gas from "unknown" to "390km".	The distance was previously stated as "unknown" in the table.	N/A
6.2.11 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 11 Marine mammals [APP-052]	Figure 11-4, 11.12.3, page 108	The document reference number for Figure 11-4 in paragraph 11.12.3 has been omitted. After "Figure 11-4" document reference 6.3.11 should be stated.	Document reference was previously omitted.	N/A
6.2.11 Environmental Statement - Volume 2	11.12.4, page 108	Paragraph 11.12.4 states "Appendix 5.5: Cumulative effects assessment shortlisted developments, Volume 4 of the ES (Document	Incorrect document number stated in document.	N/A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
Chapter 11 Marine mammals [APP-052]		Reference: 6.4.5.5)", this should state " Appendix 5.4: Cumulative effects assessment shortlisted developments, Volume 4 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.4.5.4)".		
6.2.15 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 15 Seascape, landscape and visual impact assessment [APP-056]	Table 15-36	Amend "Assessment of residual effect (significance)" for Viewpoint 8: Brighton sea front promenade" from "Significant Major/moderate in EIA terms, direct, long-term, reversible." to "Significant Major in EIA terms, direct, long-term, reversible".	Incorrect assessment of residual effect (significance) transposed into Table 15-36 from 6.4.15.4 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 15.4 Viewpoint assessment [APP-160].	N/A
6.4.22.8 Environmental Statement - Volume 4, Appendix 22.8: Passive and active bat activity report [APP-186]	Table of Contents - List of Annexes: Annex A Figures	Figure references in the Table of Contents - List of Annexes: Annex A Figures, to be amended from 'Figures 28.15.1 to 28.15.29' to 'Figures 22.8.1 to Figure 22.8.29'.	To reflect correct figure reference.	N/A
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2d (Page 9) [APP-106]	Figure 21.2d (Page 9)	Label text to be amended from "HDD-26-NML25" to "HDD-32-NML26"	To correct text from error in document.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural Deadline A submission.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2e [APP-106]	Figure 21.2e	New monitoring location (TC12-NML41) to be added reflective of the monitoring undertaken between 15 - 17 November 2023.	To reflect most up-to-date noise monitoring.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural Deadline A submission.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2d [APP-106]	Figure 21.2d	New monitoring location (TC07-NML40) to be added reflective of the monitoring undertaken between 15 - 17 November 2023.	To reflect most up-to-date noise monitoring.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural Deadline A submission.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
				A Submission Documents.
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2b, 21.2c [APP-106]	Figure 21.2b, 21.2c	Label text for HDD-03-NML3 is missing.	To reflect most up-to-date noise monitoring.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural Deadline A submission.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2k, 21.2l [APP-106]	Figure 21.2k, 21.2l	Label text for OPP-NML1 is missing.	To reflect most up-to-date noise monitoring.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural Deadline A submission.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2a [APP-106]	Figure 21.2a	Label text for CC1-NML31 is missing	To reflect most up-to-date noise monitoring.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural Deadline A submission.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2f [APP-106]	Figure 21.2f	Label text for CC3-NML32 is missing.	To reflect most up-to-date noise monitoring.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural Deadline A submission.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
6.3.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 3 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration - Figure 21.2k, 21.2l	Figure 21.2k, 21.2l	Label text for CC5-NML33 is missing.	To reflect most up-to-date noise monitoring.	Figure updated for PINs submission Procedural Deadline A submission.

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
[APP-106]				Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and vibration [APP-062]	Table 21-8	Amend text to correct stakeholder reference.	To reference correct stakeholder.	Rev B of Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration [APP-062] has been updated as part of this Procedural Deadline A submission.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and vibration [APP-062]	Table 21-29	Amend table to align with the correct receptors for these trenchless crossings.	To reflect correct receptors.	Rev B of Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration [APP-062] has been updated as part of this Procedural Deadline A submission.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and vibration [APP-062]	Table 21-30	Amend table to align with the correct receptors for these trenchless crossings.	To reflect correct receptors.	Rev B of Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration [APP-062] has been updated as part of this Procedural Deadline A submission.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 2	Table 21-29	Amend text to correct receptor address.	To reflect correct receptor address.	Rev B of Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
Chapter 21 Noise and vibration [APP-062]				[APP-062] has been updated as part of this Procedural Deadline A submission.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and vibration [APP-062]	Table 21-30	Amend text to correct receptor address.	To reflect correct receptor address.	Rev B of Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration [APP-062] has been updated as part of this Procedural Deadline A submission.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and vibration [APP-062]	Table 21-30	Amend table to reflect correct BS 5228 assessment threshold category B.	Reflect correct BS 5228 assessment threshold category B.	Rev B of Volume 2 Chapter 21 Noise and Vibration [APP-062] has been updated as part of this Procedural Deadline A submission.  Please refer to Covering Letter to PINs Appendix 2: Procedural Deadline A Submission Documents.
7.22 Commitments Register [APP-254]	Table 1-1, Commitment reference C-169	Text in the 'Commitment Description' for Commitment Reference C-169 to be amended from: 'RED will provide designs for permanent accesses required on the project will be provided to Department for Transport (DfT) Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) design standards.'  To:	To correct error in grammar in document.	N/A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		'RED will provide designs for permanent accesses required on the project, which will be provided to Department for Transport (DfT) Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) design standards.'		
6.2.4 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 4 The Proposed Development [APP-045]	Table 4-19	<p>The 3rd row of Table 4-19, the maximum value for 'Corridor width: permanent easement' and the maximum value stated should not be in bold text as this is a not a parameter secured by the DCO.</p> <p>The 11th row of Table 4-19, the row for 'Number of cables (including fibre optics)' and the maximum value stated should not be in bold text as this is a not a parameter secured by the DCO.</p> <p>The 12th row of Table 4-19, the row for 'Number of ducts (including fibre optics)' and the maximum value stated should not be in bold text as this is a not a parameter secured by the DCO.</p> <p>The 13th row of Table 4-19, the row for 'Number trenches' and the maximum value stated should not be in bold text as this is a not a parameter secured by the DCO.</p> <p>The 15th row of Table 4-19, the row for 'HVAC: number of cable circuits' and the maximum value stated should not be in bold text as this is a not a parameter secured by the DCO.</p> <p>The 16th row of Table 4-19, the row for 'HVAC: number of cables' and the maximum value stated should not be in bold text as this is a not a parameter secured by the DCO.</p>	To correct formatting error in document.	N/A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
6.2.4 Environmental Statement - Volume 2 Chapter 4 The Proposed Development [APP-045]	Table 4-24	The 2nd row of Table 4-24, the maximum movements for 'Abnormal Indivisible Loads (two-way) value of 'up to 10' should not be in bold text.	An Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL) assessment has been completed to support Chapter 23: Transport of the ES [APP-064]. The AIL assessment considered the delivery of transformers and shunt reactors to the onshore substation site at Oakendene from an operational perspective, focusing on a review of delivery routes and swept path analysis to identify potential constraints. The movement of AILs are low in number and will be spread over a number of days, and is expected to result in no more than one movement in any one day. Due to the approach taken, the number of AILs is not considered to be a required parameter.	N/A
6.4.4.3 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 4.3 Proposed Development Parameters [APP-124]	Table 1-1	<p>The 56th row of Table 1-1, the 'Corridor width: permanent (easement)' row should be deleted from the table.</p> <p>The 57th row of Table 1-1, the 'Number of cables (including fibre optics)' row should be deleted from the table.</p> <p>The 58th row of Table 1-1, the 'Number of ducts (including fibre optics)' row should be deleted from the table.</p> <p>The 59th row of Table 1-1, the 'Number of trenches' row should be deleted from the table.</p> <p>The 60th row of Table 1-1, the 'HVAC: number of cable circuits' row should be deleted from the table.</p>	To correct error in document.	N/A

Document Reference	Errata Location Reference	Correction	Reason for Change	Updated Document
		The 61st row of Table 1-1, the 'HVAC: number of cables' row should be deleted from the table.		
6.4.4.3 Environmental Statement - Volume 4 Appendix 4.3 Proposed Development Parameters [APP-124]	Table 1-1	The 78th and 79th row of Table 1-1, the 'Maximum HGV and abnormal loads parameters for the onshore substation' and 'Abnormal Indivisible Loads (two-way)' rows to be deleted from the table.	An Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL) assessment has been completed to support Chapter 23: Transport of the ES [APP-064]. The AIL assessment considered the delivery of transformers and shunt reactors to the onshore substation site at Oakendene from an operational perspective, focusing on a review of delivery routes and swept path analysis to identify potential constraints. The movement of AILs are low in number and will be spread over a number of days, and is expected to result in no more than one movement in any one day. Due to the approach taken, the number of AILs is not considered to be a required parameter.	N/A



Rampion 2 Offshore Wind Farm  
By email

Your ref. 00499876-01  
Contact Colin McAllister  
Email [REDACTED]@rwe.com

01/12/2023

## **Proposed Kittiwake Compensation related to the Rampion 2 Development**

Dear Sirs,

We refer to our recent discussions regarding the application for development consent for the proposed Rampion 2 offshore wind farm ("Rampion 2") which has been submitted by Rampion Extension Development Limited ("RED") to the Planning Inspectorate.

It is our understanding that the Rampion 2 application includes a "without prejudice" derogation case in respect of impacts on the kittiwake population which forms a qualifying interest feature of the Flamborough and Filey Coast Special Protection Area (SPA). This derogation case includes proposed compensation measures based on an assessment conclusion of the worst case collision risk mortality rate for kittiwake of 0.72 birds per annum.

In the event that the Secretary of State concludes that a derogation is required, we further understand that the compensation measures proposed by RED comprise several potential options which include, *inter alia*, the provision of nesting platforms on an artificial kittiwake nesting structure. However, due to the limited nature of the contribution to any in-combination effect on the kittiwake population attributable to Rampion 2, should nesting platforms on an artificial nesting structure be required, the Rampion 2 project would look to partner with another developer or strategic compensation provider in order to deliver its proposed compensation.

Dogger Bank South East Limited and Dogger Bank South West Limited (hereafter referred to collectively as "Dogger Bank South") have interests in an existing onshore artificial nesting structure on land within its control at South Shore Road, Gateshead adjacent to the River Tyne and may propose further artificial nesting structure(s) as part of the Dogger Bank South projects.

In the event that Secretary of State decides that the Rampion 2 project can only be consented in reliance upon a derogation case then Dogger Bank South confirms that it would be willing to allocate nesting platforms at its existing onshore artificial nesting structure or any other artificial nesting structure that may be provided as part of the Dogger Bank South projects to Rampion 2 in the event that RED elect to provide compensation measures at any such structure.

Page 2

Dogger Bank South acknowledges that it may be necessary for it and RED to enter into further legal and commercial arrangements in due course to secure these measures and confirms that it would enter negotiations to do so on the basis of good faith.

Yours sincerely



— For and on behalf of  
RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited  
RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited